

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 23-CV-61346-VALLE

CONSENT CASE

JOSE LUIS TORRES,

Plaintiff,

v.

BARREIRO'S SERVICE LLC, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT AND DISMISSING CASE WITH PREJUDICE**

THIS MATTER is before the Court upon the Joint Motion to Approve Settlement and Dismissal with Prejudice (ECF No. 31) (the “Motion”). Pursuant to the parties’ consent, this case is before the undersigned to conduct any and all proceedings in this case. *See* (ECF Nos. 28, 29).

This case includes claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) for alleged violations of the statutory overtime and minimum wage provisions. (ECF No. 1); *see* 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-216. On November 16, 2023, the Mediator filed a report advising that the case was “settled in full.” (ECF No. 27). The instant Motion followed.

Before the Court can dismiss this case and approve a settlement of the FLSA claims, the court must scrutinize the settlement and determine that it is a “fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute over FLSA provisions.” *Lynn’s Food Stores, Inc. v. United States*, 679 F.2d 1350, 1355 (11th Cir. 1982). In doing so, courts consider various factors, including: (1) the possible existence of collusion behind the settlement; (2) the complexity, expense, and likely

duration of the litigation; (3) the stage of the proceedings and the amount of discovery completed; (4) the probability of the plaintiff's success on the merits; (5) the range of possible recovery; and (6) the opinions of counsel. *See Leverso v. S. Trust Bank of Ala. Nat. Assoc.*, 18 F.3d 1527, 1531 n.6 (11th Cir. 1994); *see also McHone v. Donald P. Hoekstra Plumbing, Inc.*, No. 10-CV-60322, 2010 WL 4625999, at *1 (S.D. Fla. Nov. 4, 2010); *Dees v. Hydradry, Inc.*, 706 F. Supp. 2d 1227, 1241 (M.D. Fla. 2010). In the end, if the settlement reflects a reasonable compromise over FLSA issues that are actually in dispute, the court may approve the settlement "to promote the policy of encouraging settlement in litigation." *Lynn's Food Stores*, 679 F.2d at 1354.

Here, the parties emailed the Settlement Agreement and General Release (the "Settlement Agreement") to the undersigned. The Court has scrutinized the terms of the Settlement Agreement and considered the above factors, the overall strengths and weaknesses of the parties' respective positions, and the parties' desire to resolve this case sooner rather than later to avoid the costs and uncertainty of litigation. The Court also considered that Plaintiff's claims were disputed as to liability and amount and that all parties were represented by counsel. Lastly, the Settlement Agreement specifies the portion of the settlement amount to be paid to Plaintiff and the amounts designated for attorney's fees and costs. Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement is a fair and reasonable resolution of a *bona fide* FLSA dispute.

Plaintiff's counsel has also submitted billing records for review by the undersigned. The Court considered "the reasonableness of [Plaintiff's] counsel's legal fees to assure both that counsel is compensated adequately and that no conflict of interest taints the amount the wronged employee recovers under a settlement agreement." *Silva v. Miller*, 307 F. App'x 349, 351 (11th Cir. 2009). Having done so, the Court finds that the amount of the settlement proceeds attributable to Plaintiff's counsel's legal fees is reasonable.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, it is hereby **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that:

- (i) Joint Motion to Approve Settlement and Dismissal with Prejudice (ECF No. 31) is **GRANTED**, and the Settlement Agreement is **APPROVED**;
- (ii) the case is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**; and
- (iii) the Court retains jurisdiction for 60 days from the date of this Order to enforce the Settlement Agreement.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, at Fort Lauderdale, Florida on December 18, 2023.



ALICIA O. VALLE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cc: All Counsel of Record